



TOWN OF NEW LONDON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

375 MAIN STREET • NEW LONDON, NH 03257 • WWW.NL-NH.COM

BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING MINUTES November 3, 2008

PRESENT:

Mark Kaplan, Chair, Board of Selectman
Tina Helm, Selectman
Jessie Levine, Town Administrator
Jim Ward, New London resident
DJ & Bob Lavoie, New London residents
Peter Stanley, Zoning Administrator
Richard Lee, Public Works Director
Kate Miller, Esq., Donahue, Tucker & Ciandella
Lisa Birmingham, Comcast
Debbie Cross, Intertown Record
Lauren Gifford, Valley News

ABSENT:

Larry Ballin, Selectman

Chair Kaplan called the meeting to order at 8:04 AM.

Minutes: Tina Helm moved to approve the minutes of October 27, 2008. Second Mark Kaplan and approved 2-0.

Route 103A – Reduced Winter Maintenance: Jessie Levine stated that the State Department of Transportation has plans to expand the Park & Ride at Route 103A. As part of the review and permitting process, the Department of Environmental Services has opposed the expansion due to a stream on the property that has been compromised by salt, presumably from I89. Mark Kaplan noted that stream runs into Lake Sunapee. Jessie Levine said that NHDOT has asked the Town to consider requesting reduced winter maintenance on that section of Route 103A, which would result in the reduction of salt use by the State DOT on the state road. That reduction in salt might offset any salt that is used for the expanded parking lot. Jessie Levine said that she believes the Lake Sunapee Protective Association would be supportive of the request, although she was unable to reach anyone there last week.

Jessie Levine explained that the process begins with a letter from the Board of Selectmen and signed by the Police Chief, Fire Chief, EMS Director, and School Superintendent that asks the State to begin the review process. She said the State will then hold a public hearing to take comment prior to making a decision. This is the exact process that was followed with a similar request for Little Sunapee Road in 2005.

Tina Helm said that she read the State's Winter Maintenance Policy and understands the request, and she thinks it's reasonable. She made a motion to authorize the letter to NHDOT to begin the process. Seconded by Mark Kaplan and approved 2-0.

Crockett's Corner: Jessie Levine said that NHDOT has asked for a follow-up focus group meeting regarding the section of Andover Road from Crockett's Corner to Seamans Road. The State has proposed

changing the center passing lane into a two-way turning lane, but needs the input from the focus group. That meeting is scheduled for Thursday, November 20 at 1:00 PM.

Jim Ward, Everett Park (Map 072, Lot 027): At 8:10 AM, Chair Kaplan recognized Jim Ward. Jim Ward said that he is here regarding a building permit for a lot he owns that Jack Akin would like to purchase. Mr. Ward said that Peter Stanley had reviewed the application and believes that there is a wetlands issue with an old ditch on the property. Mr. Ward thinks that there is a difference of opinion over interpretation of the rules, and he would like the Selectmen's opinion.

By way of background, Jim Ward said that the lot is on Everett Park, and many years ago the Town put in a drainage ditch that bisected the lot and in 2005, the Town put in a new ditch that ran along the side of the lot. The Town was supposed to fill in the old ditch. Jim Ward said that the Town never maintained the ditch, as called for in the easement, which is a sewer easement. Nothing was ever maintained, and his engineer said that as a result, the culvert is clogged and set too high, so the design has impacted the property. Jim Ward has asked Jessie Levine and Peter Stanley for help from the Town and so far the Town has not provided assistance.

Jim Ward said that Jack Akin, the buyer, submitted a building permit with the plan, and Peter Stanley asked for the ditch to be inspected by the wetlands scientist, and Jim Ward hired Bob Stewart. Bob Stewart prepared a report that referred to RSA 482-A:3, which says that ditches should be maintained and that they do not count as a jurisdictional wetland. Mr. Ward said that Peter Stanley has said that the permit should be denied because it needs a dredge and fill permit, which Mr. Ward disagrees with.

Jim Ward said that he e-mailed the letter from Bob Stewart to Collis Adams at DES, and Collis Adams said that DES does not have any jurisdiction over "uplands," which is different from "wetlands." Jim Ward said that Peter Stanley still will not approve the permit, even though it's clear that no one is saying that the ditch cannot be maintained. Jim Ward said that the proposed building will not touch the ditch in question. Jim Ward said that the question is whether the area is jurisdictional or not, and he's had two people say that it's not, as well as the head of the DES. Jim Ward said that Peter Stanley is hanging his hat on an e-mail between Peter Schauer and a staff person at DES who asked where the water is going to go. Jim Ward said that the staff person has partial information about the property and does not know the full story. Jim Ward said that his soil scientist has said that the soil is "uplands," so he is here to ask for the Selectmen's input, as he has been working on this for two months and he disagrees with Peter Stanley's "judgment call."

Chair Kaplan asked Peter Stanley to respond. Mr. Stanley showed the Board of Selectmen the map of the property prepared by Bob Stewart, and showed the Selectmen the ditch constructed by Doug Gay, former superintendent of the Sewer Department, who had relocated the sewer line at Mr. Ward's request. Jim Ward showed on the map where the proposed building would be located. Mr. Stanley pointed out the area that he considers to be wetlands and how the proposed building would impact the wet area. Jim Ward stated that the building does not touch any of the area in question.

Jessie Levine asked Jim Ward for a copy of the sewer easement because she did not have a copy of it in the property's tax map file. Mr. Ward gave her a copy of the easement from Laura Homan to the Sewer Commission, signed in _____. Jessie Levine explained to the Selectmen that there are two easements over the property: one is the sewer easement for the sewer line, and apparently there is documentation for that easement. The second is the drainage easement, which the Town has by prescription because of the length of time that the Town has discharged its culvert onto the property. She said that the sewer line was relocated by the former superintendent at Jim Ward's request to allow the property to be built. Jim Ward said that when the new ditch was created, it was his understanding that the old one was supposed to be filled in. Jessie Levine said that the Town is not responsible for the drainage easement outside the right-of-way, and as a property owner Mr. Ward can relocate that drainage as long as he follows the law and does not cause harm to abutting properties. She said that as far as building on

the property, Mr. Ward has been told that there is a process to follow with the State DES and Zoning Board of Adjustment, and he does not want to follow the process that every other property owner would have to follow.

Peter Stanley said that he had gotten a call from Jack Akin and Kenny Clarke and he was asked to come to the site to meet with them. Peter Stanley visited the site and inspected the area in question, stood on the ground, looked at the vegetation, and there appeared to be a preponderance of wetland vegetation, including cattails. Water came up around Peter's shoes in the driest part of the season in August, and Peter Stanley suggested as a result of that meeting that a wetlands scientist should be hired to delineate the wetland. Jack Akin hired Peter Schauer, who went to the site and flagged off an entire area as wetland. Schauer did not draw a plan but delineated it as wetland on site. Peter Stanley said that in his opinion based on RSA 482:A-3, the law that says a ditch can be maintained (cleaned out), in his opinion the manmade portion of the wetland could be filled in, and he agreed that there was an area below that that did not appear to be manmade that was hydric soils that could not be filled. Peter Stanley said that Peter Schauer is well known in the area and is used by Peter Blakeman, Pierre Bedard, and other local engineers. Peter Stanley took him at his word and he felt that the upper portion could be filled in with proper permitting. Peter Stanley had contacted Jocelyn Degler at DES and outlined the situation. He asked her to get together with Peter Schauer to work out the right story here, and they exchanged e-mails and the issue was bumped to Collis Adams. Peter Schauer gave Peter Stanley a series of e-mails between him and Collis Adams. Collis Adams's response was that this is not a matter of jurisdiction but of whether a permit is required. Jim Ward said that if Peter Stanley would read the last sentence of that e-mail thread, it said Peter Schauer strongly disagreed that the area was jurisdictional.

Peter Stanley told Jack Akin that a variety of things would be needed to complete the building permit application, including a wetlands permit to fill the wetland and a special exception from the ZBA to fill the wetland. After that, Jim Ward hired a second soil scientist, whose map does not show the jurisdictional wetlands that were flagged by Peter Schauer. Jim Ward said that on the follow-up plan by Bob Stewart, the ditch was shown as non-jurisdictional with a report by Bob Stewart explaining his position.

Mark Kaplan said that until it is resolved in Jim Ward's own mind, he does not see how he can proceed. Jessie Levine recommended that there is a process that could be followed. She suggested that the Selectmen follow the advice of their Zoning Administrator, as they would for any other building permit. In this case, Mr. Stanley's interpretation, based on his own inspection and the flagging by Peter Schauer, is that the wetlands would be impacted by this building permit. Jessie Levine said that Jim Ward must do what any other property owner would have to do in the presence of wetlands: apply for wetlands permit from NHDES and a special exception from the ZBA. Or, Mr. Ward may submit the permit without those things and if it is denied, he may appeal the denial to the ZBA. She repeated that this process is the same for all property owners, and Mr. Ward is asking for special consideration.

Jim Ward said that no one is asking to fill in wetlands at all and he wishes that term would not keep coming up. In fact, Mr. Ward said, Peter Schauer objects to DES's opinion, and Jim Ward has two wetlands scientists saying that it is not jurisdictional based on on-site inspections of the soil. He thinks the Town should have taken care of this because it was caused by their easements. If the Selectmen weigh the evidence, the majority of evidence is that this is not jurisdictional. If the buyer wants to fill in the ditch, he can do so and apply for the appropriate permits then. Jim Ward said that Peter Schauer strongly disagrees with DES's opinion and he thinks that the people who have inspected it have a greater weight. To ask him to go through another 2-3 months to get approval seems unfair. He repeated that the property is uplands, not wetlands.

Mark Kaplan said that he is not an expert either way, and he said that Jim Ward is asking the Selectmen to make that determination. Jim Ward asked the Selectmen to side with the soil scientist, who is licensed

and the head of DES respected his opinion. Jim Ward asked why this is an issue of gray area when he has so much information, and all Peter Stanley has is an excerpt of an e-mail.

Mark Kaplan asked Peter Stanley to comment. Peter Stanley said that the first soil scientist delineated and flagged the site as being wet and being jurisdictional and said that it would be legal to fill the manmade portion of the ditch even though it was jurisdictional. Peter Stanley suggested that the Selectmen read the series of e-mails between Jim Ward and Collis Adams, in which Jim Ward poses the question as to whether there is jurisdiction over upland. Peter Stanley said that the sewer work may have created the problem in the first place, however, the water is backed up and the wetlands are there based on the three-way test of soils, vegetation and presence of water. The second soil scientist, Bob Stewart of RCS Designs, was asked if the area is not wet and Bob Stewart said that if the culvert were opened and had sufficient time to drain, it might revert to upland, but was unable to say how long that would take and if it would happen.

Peter Stanley asked whether, in the meantime, a house could be built over what looks like wetlands? He said the foundation would be unstable and undoubtedly the house would have problems with water in the basement. Peter Stanley recommends against approving the permit because it would be impossible to build the house without filling the wetlands. Jessie Levine said that to be clear, the Town is not opposed to filling the wetlands and would support the special exception before the ZBA, but there is a process to follow and that is what is being recommended here. Jim Ward said that one scientist has said it can be maintained and the statutes say it can be maintained, and another scientist said that it is jurisdictional.

Jim Ward said that his soil scientist had gone to the site and did make the call that it was upland. Mark Kaplan said that we all agree that there is a ditch, and that a certain section it is wet, and at a certain section it is dry, and he asked to be shown where it changes from wet to dry. Peter Stanley showed the area on the plan prepared by Bob Stewart.

Jessie Levine said that there are three alternatives: 1) since Peter Schauer and Bob Stewart appear to disagree on the presence of wetlands near the building site, Mr. Ward could hire a third licensed wetland scientist to render an opinion; 2) Mr. Ward could apply for the DES permit and special exception as previously directed; or 3) Mr. Ward could proceed with the permit as is and if the Selectmen deny it, he could appeal to the ZBA.

Tina Helm said that she believes that Jim Ward should get a third opinion because the two scientists disagree. Peter Stanley said that no one has staked out the exact location of the house on the site, which might help demonstrate the problem, and he is happy to do so. If Peter Schauer comes back and is convinced that there is no wetlands impingement, then we can move forward. Peter Stanley said that the house cannot be built without filling and relocating the ditch. Mark Kaplan said that this is not just a theoretical problem, but a practical problem – the foundation has to go into the soil, and is the soil prepared so that it will accept the concrete? Mark Kaplan said that he is not a builder or contractor and does not want to make a determination based on his experience. The Town has a procedure, and he recommends that Jim Ward follow it.

Jim Ward said that if we go to court and there are damages, he would sue the town. Ms. Levine read from the sewer easement, which explicitly states that the property owner would not hold the Town liable for damages as a result of the easement. She added that the sewer line was relocated at Mr. Ward's request.

Richard Lee explained the prescription easement for the road and the sewer easement.

Jessie Levine asked Peter Stanley if he would process the permit today, and Peter Stanley said that the building permit is not complete and cannot be advanced to the Selectmen until a set of plans, sewer hookup application, fees, and other items are received. Jim Ward said that he thought he was going to be denied, and Jessie Levine said that the permit is not complete and cannot go through the process until it is complete.

Jim Ward, Peter Stanley, and Richard Lee departed the meeting at 9:00 AM.

Tina Helm said that it should be made clear that we have not denied any request, but we do not have a complete stack of information here.

Milfoil Funds: Jessie Levine asked the Board of Selectmen to retroactively approve a milfoil grant for the Lake Sunapee Protective Association, which request should have been included with the other lakes' requests earlier this summer and which has been paid to LSPA. The Selectmen approved the grant funding in the amount of \$5,000 with a vote of 2-0.

At 9:00 AM, the Selectmen suspended the public meeting to meet with counsel regarding Comcast franchise negotiations.

Comcast: The Selectmen's meeting reconvened at 9:30 AM and the Board of Selectmen was joined by Kate Miller (town counsel) and Lisa Birmingham (Comcast), as well as DJ Lavoie.

Ms. Miller informed Ms. Birmingham that she had marked up the Comcast revision based on previous conversations with the Selectmen, and she highlighted some of the key pieces for Ms. Birmingham in advance of sending her an updated draft. In general, she said that this is a good agreement from Comcast in terms of addressing the Town's needs, which is a good place to start and will make the discussion short from this point forward. Kate Miller said that the way that public access programming (PEG channel) is done now, including an advertising component, needs to switch to an underwriting approach like public broadcasting. She will share the public broadcasting guidelines with the Town.

Ms. Miller said that the Town has no origination location, which has been something that has been lacking and the Town may not have been ready for it in the past but will be going forward. It looks like the best spot will be the former middle school. Lisa Birmingham said that for the origination point, a fiber link would have to be constructed between the middle school and the hub. She agreed that it would make it easier for anyone to use the capacity. Mark Kaplan asked if this would have to be negotiated with the School District, and Jessie Levine said that the use of interior space would have to be negotiated with the District. Ms. Miller asked if the cost would have to be passed back to subscribers, and Lisa Birmingham said most likely the subscribers would be asked to pay. Ms. Miller said that construction is not typically passed through and Ms. Birmingham said that we should discuss what kind of facility the Town is interested in. Lisa Birmingham asked for information about Yankee Communications and whether any portion of the franchise fee goes to YCN at this time. Mark Kaplan said that it does not. Lisa asked if that could change and Mr. Kaplan said that it is negotiable. Lisa Birmingham asked what kind of equipment the Town would want, who would take care of it, etc. She has done agreements that include a placeholder to allow the decision to be made in the future that identifies location, budget, etc. at some point down the line. Kate Miller said that sounds appropriate because in her opinion Comcast has never failed to come through when there is a placeholder, and the Town does not know the extent of its plans at this point.

Tina Helm said her only concern would be if the franchise fees went way up as a result. Lisa Birmingham said that the typical start-up cost is \$30,000. Enfield just did it, and Andover has a simple operation that they manage well. Jessie asked how Enfield's costs were covered, and Lisa Birmingham said that Adelphia had committed \$10,000, which was passed through to subscribers, and the Selectmen had been holding franchise fees for some time. In Winchester, NH, the Town held franchise fees for accumulation so that there would be a pot of money when they were ready to start. She thinks that's what Enfield did as well. Jessie said the beauty of it is that the Town cannot accumulate that money without voter approval, and voters can decide if that is how they want to spend the franchise funds. Mark Kaplan said the franchise fee could also be raised.

Kate Miller said that she believes Comcast should pay for the origination because most towns got them through the cable companies in the "good old days" of franchise agreements, so the towns that make the

request later should not be at a disadvantage. Lisa Birmingham said that was during the analog days, and the origination sites were made possible because the “return path” was used, not a separate fiber feed. It can no longer go through on the return path because that is being used for other services, such as phone. Mark Kaplan asked if digital was not less expensive than analog, and Lisa Birmingham said that it is and she would be happy to talk about having the public access be on the digital channel. Kate Miller said that we should provide for that eventuality. Lisa Birmingham explained that as of February 2009, anyone with rabbit ears will lose their analog signals and only the cable operators will provide analog through basic cable service. Lisa Birmingham said that it is easier for them to do digital, but Kate Miller pointed out that is not available to residents who only receive basic cable. Lisa Birmingham said there will be a point where only digital is available, even in basic.

Kate Miller said there have been conversations about the “economy” digital package, which is available in southern regions of the state and which Lisa Birmingham said is available here as of Saturday. Kate Miller explained that it is the less expensive commercial digital package. It is a combination of digital programming (no sports) and broadcast channels, plus the box (no separate equipment charge). It is being offered for about \$40, so it’s more expensive than basic (\$18). Lisa Birmingham said she would send information about it. She said that the \$18 programming is about 17-18 channels that stay the same from year to year. The next tier is “expanded basic,” which is standard cable, all analog channels (includes Hallmark, TV Guide, EWTN), and last summer channels were moved, which created frustration and disappointment. For every analog channel that takes about 6 Mhz, 10 digital channels can be fit in, or a couple of high definition channels, and the majority of their customers are digital and HDTV so Comcast swapped out analog and digital and converted some of the analog channels to digital, so they moved some of the channels from standard basic to digital starter. B2, or expanded basic, will go away because the world is going basic. But the digital starter was more expensive, so they created programming between basic and digital starter, which is digital economy and has the channels that were moved from analog to digital, except sports. MSNBC is still on digital starter. Digital economy is \$39, including the price of the box, and if the subscriber has phone or internet it’s \$29 for the cable. Comcast tried to create a programming package that responded to the people who could not afford \$60 per month for television. This is not meant to be everything for everybody but is helpful.

Ms. Birmingham said the PEG access channels are on the basic tier but can be provided in digital on the basic tier. The delivery mechanism is not linked to the pricing of the basic tier. Ms. Birmingham said that Comcast expects that by this time next year, more than two-thirds of subscribers will be digital, and two years from now will be 90%. The key, she said, is to be on the basic tier, which is affordable by all subscribers. Kate Miller said that even when basic moves to the digital delivery system, the price should stay the same or comparable, so it would be important for the local access channel to continue to be available on that. Lisa Birmingham said the price of the boxes is going down to encourage people to move to digital.

Kate Miller said that the idea of placeholder for origination location and a basic budget and discussing whether a portion would be through franchise fees or capital fund from Comcast remains on the table. Mark Kaplan said that the Town would be happy with the same 3% franchise fee, since any increase would be passed on to customers, but would like the ability to re-open that if the Town finds that it would be tagged with some of the cost of the PEG. Tina Helm agreed with that option, and Lisa Birmingham said that is workable. She advised to consider the incremental revenue associated with a franchise fee increase (Jessie Levine said that every 1% is about \$10,000 in revenue to the Town). Ms. Birmingham said that for a capital assessment, she would not recommend a one-time charge but would have it amortized over the life of the franchise contract, in which case it would include interest charges.

Kate Miller said that Comcast has been willing to pre-pay franchise fees if the Town needs a cash bump up front, so long as the payment is made in the same year that it is collected. This would take the same money to minimize the hit on subscribers and maximize usefulness.

Kate Miller said that the last piece is the length of term, for which Comcast has proposed ten years. A longer franchise agreement is beneficial in some ways because the Town knows what's coming, but in other ways it's hard to know what will change in ten years. Ms. Miller said that the Selectmen are proposing a five-year term, which may vary depending on the fit of the various funding terms. Lisa Birmingham said that five years is problematic because next thing we know we're in the renewal process again. She has heard a lot the concerns about evolving technology and does not understand the logic of the concern. Comcast will not let their significant investment go away.

Tina Helm said she thinks that she heard Lisa Birmingham say that even seven years would not be adequate. Lisa Birmingham said that seven years is Comcast's minimum. Comcast has to comply with changes in the law, but Kate Miller pointed out that as long as the agreement is not in violation of new laws, the agreement stands. Lisa Birmingham said she would not agree to five years, and the Town may not want to as well, especially if there is a capital expense because it would shorten the amortization.

Kate Miller said that the variable is the capital cost. Lisa Birmingham said that fortunately, the hub is in New London. Jessie said that it is also fortunate that there is already Comcast fiber on Main Street, so she does not understand why new fiber would have to be run. Lisa Birmingham said it depends on the capacity available and Jessie Levine said that she can't imagine that there is not enough capacity on the existing run. Lisa Birmingham said that the available capacity in Enfield reduced the expense. Lisa Birmingham said that the question will be how to support the cost of whoever is going to run the channel. She said she would forward the Winchester agreement to the Town.

Kate Miller said that in general, most of the boilerplate language in the agreement looks pretty good, and thanked Lisa Birmingham for her efforts in putting forward an agreement that is workable. Kate Miller pointed out, for example, that the standard installation distance provided in the agreement is 250', compared to most parts of the state which is 150 feet. Chair Kaplan said he appreciates that because we have some long driveways that have not had access to Comcast. Kate Miller said the 250' does not answer the problem but it helps.

Tina Helm departed from the meeting at 10:05 AM, which meant that there was no longer a quorum. The meeting continued but no decisions were made in the absence of a quorum.

Kate Miller will mark up the agreement again with the changes discussed today. She recommends another public hearing on the agreement once the terms are agreed upon. Those present discussed the date for the public hearing, and ultimately settled on November 19, pending the availability of the other two Selectmen.

Lisa Birmingham said that with respect to the interconnection language, there may not need to be interconnection, so either there will be interconnection or direct separate connections. Kate Miller asked Lisa Birmingham for language that addressed that.

Kate Miller asked Lisa Birmingham to lean on her people regarding the tax language that addresses the ability to tax users of poles in the public rights-of-way. Kate Miller said that she believes there is a case out of Claremont before the Board of Tax and Land Appeals.

Lisa Birmingham asked about bonding liabilities, and Kate Miller said that she thinks the language was standard. Lisa Birmingham will send the Winchester placeholder language, and will talk to her folks about the costs. She referred to Andover's facility, which includes a modulator, computer, cameras, etc., and it works. She said that towns should start with the basic equipment and build capacity as the community gets involved. There is no need to build an \$80,000 studio.

DJ Lavoie asked if new televisions required a box and Lisa Birmingham said that most do not. Lisa Birmingham said that she would be willing to bring digital transition materials to the public hearing and

information on the economy digital package. Kate Miller said that people may still have questions about the migration of channels to digital.

Applications for Building Permits:

- Charles P. Kelsey, 822 Little Sunapee Road (Map & Lot 020-007-000) remove & repair wall, Permit # 08-137 – Approved.
- Eric Schultz, 148 Old Main St. (107-017-000) add bath and 2 dormers – Permit #08-138 – Approved.
- William Faccione, NLSC-LCC, 256 Newport Road (059-008-000) vinyl siding on front of building – Permit #08-139 – Approved.
- William Faccione, NLSC-LCC, 256 Newport Road (059-008-000) construct an awning over entrance – Permit #08-140 – **DENIED**

Application for Temporary Sign Permits:

- First Baptist Church, 461 Main St. - Sign for Free Concert, November 4, 2008 – Approved
- NLOC, 114 Cougar Court, Ski & Skate Sale Sign, November 8, 2008 – Approved.

Application for use of Whipple Hall:

- John Mulhern, 549 Wilmot Center Road, December 5, 4:00 – 9:00 PM – small going away party for friends – Approved.

Tax Abatements

- For the Town of New London (formerly owned by Grandgeorge) (136-001-000) King Hill Road in the amount of \$526.96.
- For New London Hospital Association (059-015-102) 276 Newport Road in the amount of \$993.09.
- For WFK Ice House Foundation, Inc. (073-082-000) 91 Pleasant Street in the amount of \$1,333.90.

Other:

- Disbursement voucher week of 11-03-08 - Approved.
- 2nd Property Tax Billing Warrant, \$9,084,113.77 – with 12% interest due December 1, 2008.
- Perambulation for the town line between Springfield and New London.
- Cartographic Associates, Inc. contracts – April 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009 – Approved
 - Cartographic Associates, Inc. GPS Data Collection Proposal – April 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009 – Approved.
 - Cartographic Associates, Inc. Street Numbering Update Proposal – April 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009 – Approved.
 - Cartographic Associates, Inc. Tax Map Maintenance Proposal – April 1, 2008 - March 31, 2009 – Approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Jessie Levine, Town Administrator