



TOWN OF NEW LONDON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

375 MAIN STREET • NEW LONDON, NH 03257 • WWW.NL-NH.COM

BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING MINUTES May 23, 2011

PRESENT:

Mark Kaplan, Chair, Board of Selectmen
Tina Helm, Selectmen
Peter Bianchi, Selectman
Linda Hardy, Interim Town Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Chief Dave Seastrand, Police Chief
Chad Denning, Recreation Director
Jim Wheeler, Budget Committee Chair
Peter Stanley, Zoning Board Administrator
Richard Lee, Public Works Director
Nathan Miller, Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission
New London Residents: Yah Maguire, Thomas Miller, Lindsay Collins, Betty Collins, Mike McChesney, Celeste Cook, Terry & Edna Dancy, John Wilson, Rick & Betsy Kloeppe, Bruce & Marsha Johnson, Bill Helm, David Dunning, Doug MacMichael, Ken Miller, Barry Wright, Betty Williamson, Carla Marshall, Dariel (Dee) Connors, Jim King, Storm Connors, Donald Schad, David Marshall, Art Bishop, Sue Andrews, Doug Homan, Pete Dunning, Paul Messer, Linda Messer, Maureen Prohl, John & Sue Clough, Neil Wallace, Sandy Stark, Don & Elizabeth Klingler, David & Beverly Payne, Bob & Janet MacMichael, Ann Loeffler, Gerald Coogan, Bruce Hudson, Renate Kannler, Neva Foley, Doug & Charlene Baxter

Chair Kaplan called the meeting to order at 6:00pm. He welcomed everyone to the meeting and noted that a representative from Senator Kelly Ayotte's office, Neva Foley, was present should anyone have any questions for the Senator.

Elkins Project – Open Forum

Chair Kaplan said that the first order of business was the discussion of the Elkins Project. He explained that the town won a Transportation Enhancement grant in the amount of up to \$638,000, and that the Town would be responsible to match the grant with \$159,000. The project was approved overwhelmingly at Town Meeting but there were a few people who stood and felt it was wrong or had problems with the idea. The Board of Selectmen decided to have this open meeting for people to express their thoughts. He would like to end the meeting by coming to a common opinion about how to proceed. He understood that everyone would not be in total agreement however they needed to have the support of almost everyone because it is the neighborhood of the people of Elkins. They do not want to make a mistake that would make people unhappy for the rest of their lives. Mr. Bianchi was asked to give a brief history of the grant, what they've done and where they are today.

Mr. Bianchi said that in 1998 the Master Plan called for some attention to be given to the Elkins village. Due to this action item, there was a study done in 2005 by the Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission (LSRPC) which found a few issues that could be addressed, including re-zoning the commercial district, encouraging mixed use, the possibility of sewerage Elkins Village, the acquisition of

the dam, a beautification project, maintaining the Post Office, creating a parking plan, improving drainage, and safety improvements. In 2008, Clough-Harbor & Associates came up with some charettes for the Elkins subcommittee to review after they had identified some priorities they felt were important. Some of these priorities included keeping Elkins' historical integrity, protecting the environment, creating sustainability, making the village walkable, restoring the dam, addressing parking and safety, restoring the Village as a center of civic life, creating local jobs, helping local business, and providing diversity of housing. The town applied for a Transportation Enhancement (TE) grant in 2009. In doing that Ms. Levine was a catalyst in initiating plans and ideas. The application for Elkins was accepted by the State as one of the top three projects, and it was learned that there would be Federal money to help the Town with the project.

Mr. Bianchi said that in the grant application there were some ideas and concepts put forward for relocation of sidewalks, adding sidewalks, and improving drainage. In the grant there was nothing mentioned about the parking area at the Post Office or the beach, and there was nothing about the Town taking over the dam. There was, in the original application, a provision to purchase the Mesa building and restore it into an information center to provide the history of Elkins to visitors. Since the Mesa building has been taken down, that portion of the application is off the table. Over the course of time some parts have left the grant. In the process, the conceptual plans that were given, which were online at one point, showed things that may not be true to what would actually happen. Some plans showed curbing, islands between lanes of traffic, and even one showed a round-about. None of these plans have been put out to bid as the money would not even be available until the first of October, 2011.

Mr. Bianchi went on to say that at the last Town Meeting they all voted to get an engineering study and specifications for what should be done in Elkins. In talking to some people, he knew that some people had discussed what should and shouldn't happen in Elkins. He said there are some concerns that there would be sidewalks moved, extended, taken up and added on. There was also a plan for a walking path to come out on Hillside Road. Mr. Bianchi said that his belief was that the purpose of that night's meeting was to get some input. They want to know what the Elkins people thought the critical aspects were for the project. Mr. Bianchi added that one condition of the grant was that any sidewalks constructed with the grant money need to be plowed in the winter, which illustrates that there are some implications of what could be done. Input from the people of Elkins, as well as the rest of the Town, was what the Board of Selectmen were looking for.

Nate Miller from UVRPC was present to answer questions about the project that the Board of Selectmen could not. Mr. Miller had helped with the application which eventually won the grant.

Ms. Helm said that the references Mr. Bianchi made with regards to designs were only conceptual and that none had been cast in stone or decided. She added that there was plenty of room going forward for discussion. Ms. Helm also felt it was important to note that from the get-go in 2005, the primary concerns for Elkins were speed, the enforcement of speed, and safety issues. She felt if people spoke to those components during the meeting, the discussion would be most advantageous.

Maureen Prohl, prior subcommittee member for the Elkins project, read the grant purpose to explain the reasoning behind their efforts to win the grant. She added that in the first charrette they talked about the prospect of obtaining the Mesa building and having a business in it; the idea behind both of the group meetings that were held in 2005 and 2008 was that the people of Elkins wanted to keep commercial establishments in Elkins. Chair Kaplan said that they were not permitted to use any of the grant money to purchase the Mesa building. The building is no longer there so the project in that direction couldn't happen. He wished to not discuss the Mesa building as it was not a part of the project any longer.

David Payne said that the safety issue in Elkins worries him. Whether the sidewalks are on the right left or middle doesn't matter. If they had a crosswalk it will help slow people down. He didn't know where, but felt it was a key element in slowing traffic down.

Mr. Miller thanked the Board of Selectmen for the invitation that night. He explained that he was one of the people who helped the Town scope the project and move it through the grant application process. There is about \$6 million dollars per year available in the program, and the year New London won their grant, there was \$18 million dollars in applications that had been submitted. Mr. Miller noted that the reason there weren't any provisions for parking in the grant was that the TE program doesn't fund that kind of improvement; it only funds pedestrian and traffic improvements, not parking. The conceptual designs are by no means final plans. He recommended if there is an interested committee in Town that they work directly with whatever engineer they bring on board to guide the development of the engineering so the people of Elkins will be sure what is constructed meets their needs.

Ms. Prohl couldn't recall everyone who was on the committee, but remembered Sue Clough and Celeste Cook were also members. She opined that it was a "loose" committee. Chair Kaplan asked if the concept of having a committee work with an engineer to guide the process was one that should be considered. Several people in attendance voiced their opinion that it was a good idea.

Ms. Prohl said that the committee spent a lot of time contemplating the sidewalks in Elkins. The reason for the suggestion of moving them is because the little sidewalk in front of Smedley's cottage is too narrow to have two people abreast. Also when people get to the end of the sidewalk now, they have to cross the street on the other side of the hill where the cars "fly" over, or have to walk behind a boat that is being put into the water. Putting the sidewalk across the road would be better so that people could walk on the same side of the road all the way down to the beach area or down to the market, etc. She opined that these changes are mostly to help with the traffic during the 6-8 week summer season.

Celeste Cook disagreed with this sentiment. She said there are many people who walk and run all around the lake. She agreed that it is predominantly for the summer that these provisions would be made, but noted that people were using the area all year round. Her biggest concern was that if they change the parking at Bucklin, would it create more bodies at Elkins, more bodies at the beach, and more people walking in the middle of the road?

Mr. Bianchi said that although the plans were conceptual at first, they were the basis they used to apply for the grant.

Carla Marshall asked why the sidewalks were put in where they were in the first place. Mr. MacMichael said that at one time the sidewalk went from Maud Swift's house to the post office, as that was the busy section of town. The post office was down by where the little print shop was at the time. There was no need for a sidewalk around the corner until that area became so busy. Mr. MacMichael recalled that when the narrow piece was put in there, he asked why it was made so narrow. He was told that they didn't want to irritate Mr. Smedley by taking any more of his land to build the sidewalk.

The speed of the cars coming down the road was noted. The other day Rich Kloepell said he walked from the post office to Lakeshore Drive, where he lives. Once he passed Peter Dunning's house he could not see oncoming traffic again until he was at Campbell's house. That was described to be a long curve and a dangerous area. He thought that they should have sidewalks from Bunker Road to Lakeshore Drive.

Chair Kaplan asked Mr. Miller about the sidewalks and if any of these sentiments were taken into consideration. Mr. Miller said that traffic calming was a key point. Another was providing a safe

separation from vehicles and pedestrian traffic, including the sidewalk infrastructure. He said that the Department of Transportation (DOT) was not going to hold the Town to those exact concepts, which is why they don't require the projects to be fully engineered at the time of application. Once the engineered plans were done, the DOT will review them to make sure they fit the guidelines of the grant. Ms. Helm asked if what was proposed in the TE application could be embellished and worked on. She asked if they didn't have to adhere to the original proposal. Mr. Miller said that they don't want to deviate too far from the plan, but minor tweaks and changes were OK.

David Marshall wondered about the specifications for the new sidewalks. He was concerned about the narrow sidewalks becoming wider as they would need more land to accomplish this. He opined that this would change the whole look of the Main Street in Elkins. He didn't like the idea of 4' sidewalks on both sides of Elkins. He added that people who go walking around the lake don't walk on the sidewalks anyway; they walk in the middle of the road.

Mr. Miller said that there were a few strings attached to using Federal money. Plowing the sidewalks would be necessary. Sidewalks would also need to be accessible to all people, including those with disabilities. They will have this discussion with their engineer. Certain waivers can be obtained to keep sidewalks to the minimum width as possible, but they will still need to be accessible to those with disabilities. The current sidewalks would not meet current specifications.

Storm Connors from Elkins asked if there were any statistics available on accidents in Elkins. Chief Seastrand said that they don't have a lot of accidents in that area, although he has witnessed a lot of close calls. Mr. Denning noted that the pedestrian dangers in Elkins make him very nervous each summer.

Doug Homan explained that they need to be careful when considering the ultimate cost of the things they decide to do in Elkins. It is important to know the long term costs and necessary maintenance. If it means hiring another man, it could create another fixed ongoing cost of about \$70,000-\$80,000 (the average cost for one Public Works Department employee, including the benefits package). He thought this was something everyone should consider.

Mr. Kloepell said he spoke at Town Meeting mostly against the proposal. He said that during the summer as he comes past the post office heading towards the boat launch ramp he is worried about pedestrians in the road. The people who are not from around the area are not as observant as those who are. He also noted that there are people who walk three abreast with baby carriages or go power-walking and don't give way to the traffic.

Ken Miller, who owned the Mesa building, felt the meeting was a bit premature. He felt that there was a uniform feeling about the intention for what the grant would accomplish: enhance the safety, beautify and overall, make Elkins better. Until the committee can get together and work on what they want, they can't know what the options are and what can be done. They wonder how they can slow people down or create a safer environment. It seemed to him that they don't need to try and reinvent the wheel here. People do this kind of thing for a living to solve these kinds of problems. Why not first invest some time and study the different options. It seemed that everyone was envisioning something differently. They should allow some time to come up with plans and find the pros and cons of the decisions. He didn't understand what they'd expect from the meeting.

Mr. Bianchi said that they'd like to have another meeting after they talk to the engineers. He didn't want to see the Board of Selectmen charge an engineer to develop 300' of sidewalks if the people of Elkins didn't want them. Ken Miller said that there are two sides to every decision made at Town Meeting and they don't have a meeting afterwards to discuss other sides. He has heard that safety and road speed are

the most important issues and suggested to put the right amount of time to meet with an engineer, learn a lot, and then come back with options or proposals.

Dave Cook said he agrees with Dave Marshall and others who have property on the inside of Elkins road across the street from the waterfront property. If they have to take land to make a 4' wide sidewalk to fit within the parameters of the 2,600' he would think it appropriate that at the meeting they would adopt the position that the taking of new sidewalk along waterfront area be on the waterfront side of the street. This would help guide the engineers with what they could do and relieve the concerns of landowners on the other side who fear their property is in jeopardy.

Ms. Dancy said safety could be improved where Elkins road meets Wilmot center road. There is a stop sign on Elkins road and thought there should also be one on Wilmot Center Road where it comes into Elkins. That is where traffic comes in fast.

John Clough had a safety viewpoint. He opined that post offices are the most dangerous properties and felt it would be a terrible tragedy if even just one child was running around at the beach and someone at the post office hit them. His only idea would be to get rid of the post office. Mr. Clough thought that if the post office could not be moved to the Billingsley Store site, it would be a wonderful addition to Melendy Park. He would encourage discussion with Ken Miller about the Town purchasing that lot. It could be a post office site or an addition to the bandstand and would fit in there along what is left of the pond.

Anne Loeffler said that she and Sue Clough have worried about kids getting hit while people are backing out of the post office. She wondered if the Town could have a circular one-way driveway made to pull in, get the mail, and improve the traffic flow a bit.

Mike McChesney said without changing the parking and if the sidewalk continued from the beach front across front of post office and broke intermittently for people to go and funnel in and out of there, it would solve some problems with people driving erratically in the parking lot. Mr. Bianchi said that this idea had been discussed before.

Dave Dunning echoed Mr. Homan's thought about being careful with Government grants. He owned a property in Holderness. The government came in to widen Route 3 and after doing so, it would never be the quintessential town it used to be. They tore out the quaintness of the village, so he warned them to be careful what comes with the package.

Sandy Stark said if the committee could consider putting in a crosswalk, stop signs, added police control and egress and exits to the post office, it may end up costing less than their portion of the grant matching would be.

Ms. Maguire wondered who else was on the committee and were there people at the meeting who wanted to be on it? Ms. Prohl said there were about 60 people who helped create the first charette and felt that they have gotten together enough times and have given their input. In 2008 there were many people who met for the better part of a day to decide how best to increase the safety of the village.

Chair Kaplan said he took from the discussion that the people of Elkins would like the committee reinstated and for them to work with an engineering firm to address safety problems. If that was agreeable, that's how they will proceed.

Richard Lee (Public Works Director) said they would have to be careful; if they change the scope of the project considerably, they won't qualify for the grant and will have to pay for all the engineering themselves.

Nate Miller said they will always need to get approval from DOT. William Rose would be their liaison from the DOT. The grant is actually a reimbursement program. The engineer would send a bill and the DOT would reimburse for those costs. If the plans were at risk for not being approved along the way, their liaison would let them know. He stressed keeping communications good between the Town and the DOT to avoid possible problems.

Chair Kaplan said that they will regenerate the committee but won't move forward until they get DOT on board. They will then come back and announce what plans/designs they have come up with. Ms. Clough asked if the committee comes up with something, would it come up again for comment. Chair Kaplan said that it would.

Ken Miller said he thinks the committee can make some good headway by putting down some things in writing, such as whether to have sidewalks or no sidewalks. Without an engineer the committee can identify the issues. They can go through the different things and determine the concerns. These issues can be brought to the engineer. Chair Kaplan asked interested people to contact Linda Hardy if they wish to be on the committee.

Mr. Dancy said that they should commission the committee right then and there. It wasn't long until October, when the money would be available. They should get together and write something down in a definitive way. He added that they will need clear objectives before working with a consultant.

Ms. Helm said that anyone who would like to continue to serve on the committee or who would like to be added to the committee should contact Linda Hardy at the office by Friday. If they can't get through to the office, they should call or email any of those on the Board of Selectmen.

Mr. MacMichael said he was proud of the turnout that night. He reminded everyone there that Elkins was a part of New London. He was grateful and thankful to all who came to the meeting.

Chair Kaplan said that if there were any questions for the Senator, her representative was there and would be glad to take any questions to the senator. There were no questions raised for Senator Ayotte's representative.

Ms. Helm asked Mr. Lee about the follow-through with putting another speed limit sign on Newport Road. Mr. Lee said that NHDOT would be the ones to do that. He added that the Board of Selectmen would have to write NHDOT a letter to request that another sign be placed between the top of the hill and Spruce Lane. He said that since they were also going to make it "No Parking" at Bucklin Beach on the State road, they should also request this in the same letter. Mr. Lee noted that William Lambert, Head of the Traffic Bureau was the one they should write to.

Mr. Lee suggested getting the Elkins committee together and figure out the things they want and get a grasp on it. If they don't want to do any of the big upgrades, they can just have the Town pay to make smaller improvements or "patches" they want.

Approval of Minutes

May 13, 2011

IT WAS MOVED (Tina Helm) AND SECONDED (Peter Bianchi) to approve the minutes of May 13, 2011, as circulated. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS MOVED (Tina Helm) AND SECONDED (Peter Bianchi) to approve the non-public minutes of May 13, 2011, as amended. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

May 14, 2011

IT WAS MOVED (Peter Bianchi) AND SECONDED (Tina Helm) to approve the minutes of May 14, 2011 Community Advisory Committee, as amended. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

May 16, 2011

IT WAS MOVED (Tina Helm) AND SECONDED (Peter Bianchi) to approve the minutes of May 16, 2011, as amended. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

Page 3, 2nd paragraph: Ms. Levine's list of ongoing projects which may help themfor specific skill sets, not "in a different way."

Page Could be an upside in having a vote in March: He wasn't asking for a decision. Insert: "Ms. Helm opined a March election could allow for a more concerted effort to engage voters in the school budget process."

Page 4, 1st paragraph: Ms. Helm ...plane/page.

Search Committee Update: Chair Kaplan said that interviews have begun and there were three that day. Three more would be held the following day, and the last one would be on Wednesday. After the interviews, they will get the results from the search committee.

It was determined that the Board of Selectmen would meet on Thursday morning at 8am for one hour to get the results of the interviews. If Thursday didn't work, Friday morning at 8am would be the alternate date and time. Ms. Hardy would check with Barry Cox from LGC to see which date would work best. Ms. Hardy also agreed to take minutes for this meeting.

New Business

Ms. Hardy referenced a memo she had received from Carol Fraley regarding the broken air conditioner in the second floor conference room. It was found that the air compressor needed to be replaced. She was seeking permission to proceed with the quote that had been obtained. The other option would be to wait - until July 1 when the 12-month portion of the budget began.

Mr. Bianchi didn't want to take the funds out of the capital reserves to make this repair. Chair Kaplan thought they should go ahead and spend the money before July. Ms. Helm was uncomfortable going into the FY2012 money when they have just one month to wait. Chair Kaplan said the money was still within the budget. Mr. Bianchi wasn't in favor of using Capital improvement funds for small expenses like this and wanted to wait until FY2012 started. Ms. Helm agreed.

IT WAS MOVED (Peter Bianchi) AND SECONDED (Tina Helm) to postpone the replacement of the compressor for the second floor conference room until July 1, 2011.

THE MOTION PASSED. Peter Bianchi – yes; Tina Helm – yes; Mark Kaplan - No.

Ms. Hardy said she has asked Mr. Lee to call their contact from the single-stream co-op, who is looking for New London to sign an agreement with them. Mr. Bianchi said that Mr. Lee had presented the

arrangement with the co-op, and found that they'd get only about 10% of what they are getting now on recyclables. Mr. Bianchi didn't think they'd even have the 1,200 tons of recyclables that the co-op anticipated from New London, and didn't think it was wise for the town to get into the agreement. Chair Kaplan said the tonnage they take to Berlin with the glass, metal and paper removed, is 2,400 tons. He thought they'd have enough recyclables.

Chair Kaplan added that Mr. Lee's main reason to want to do single-stream recycling was to avoid the long line at the transfer station. Mr. Bianchi said there is no line except for Saturday mornings. Mid-week they don't see a soul there. He opined it wasn't a single-stream issue, it was a scheduling issue. Chair Kaplan said that when New London recycles, they sell it and get money for it. If they mix it together and send it out, the co-op will make the money. The argument is such that if people don't have to separate the materials in their house, they will end up with more recycling and less trash. Chair Kaplan thought New London was recycling 33% of their trash. Ms. Helm said if they go to Pay as You Throw, they will make money because people won't throw out recyclables. Chair Kaplan said that this is another fee because people will have to buy bags. If they keep doing what they are doing now, no one pays anything and they make \$40,000 per year on their recyclables.

Ms. Helm asked to put this off until the June meeting and to promise the contact from the co-op that an answer would be forthcoming after the meeting. Ms. Trader remembered that storage of recycling was an issue. Single Stream would get the recyclables out of their area faster as currently, Mr. Lee has to collect a certain amount of tonnage before things can be recycled. Additional buildings will eventually be needed if they don't go to single-stream.

Ms. Hardy said that at the June 6 meeting Mr. Aney will present for about 30 minutes to discuss bids he has obtained for energy-saving upgrades at the Town Office. Also, Ms. Fraley will come to talk about bond financing. It was determined to keep the single-stream discussion on the June 6 meeting agenda.

The June 13 meeting will include a considerable amount of time on abatements.

Ms. Hardy said that she is working on an insert for the property tax bills that are due to go out this week. The insert will explain the two enclosed bills and their due dates. It will note that the Town will make every effort to remind people of due dates but that taxpayer's are still responsible for knowing when taxes are due and that they need to pay them promptly. Ms. Hardy said she also wanted to put something in the insert about how people can get their hang tags for the beach. It would explain that they get one per household and that the owner has to come in and get it in person.

Budget Committee – May 19

Chair Kaplan said that the meeting was procedural. They voted to have Brian Prescott replace Bill Helm, who resigned.

LGC Workshop for Local Officials at Tracy Library

Chair Helm said that this workshop was very well done and she learned quite a bit. One thing that interested her from the meeting was something from Sanbornton, NH, which was a checklist regarding non-public portions of meetings.

President's Forum at Colby-Sawyer College

Ms. Hardy said that she and Ms. Helm attended this forum and noted that President Gallegan said the college would be moving to single stream recycling.

Planning Board – May 25, 2011

Ms. Helm said she would be attending this meeting

May 26, 5:30 Sunapee Sewer Meeting

Chair Kaplan said that Sunapee has been approved for funding by RFDA. At the meeting they will most likely discuss New London's plans for funding. There was a DES visitation pending and other things to be done to keep the plant in good working order. Ms. Helm thought the major purpose of them going to the meetings was to make sure they are a presence. Chair Kaplan said that they also go so if there are questions for New London, they can just be asked and no one has to go looking for them.

Mr. Bianchi said he would try to revisit the minutes as to when the West Side Drive acceptance was discussed. They said to Mr. Lee that they had to get the date certain to get the road paved. If the agreement is not met, the Town will have to call the bond and pave the road themselves.

**IT WAS MOVED (Peter Bianchi) AND SECONDED (Tina Helm) to adjourn the meeting.
THE MOTION WAS APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The meeting adjourned at 8:10pm.

Application for Building Permit:

- Karen & Scott Slogic, 111 Shaker St. (Map & Lot 099-001-000) temporary storage shed – Permit #11-037 – Approved.
- Justin & Ann Bullion, 136 Little Brook Road (Map & Lot 035-043-000) screen room/porch addition – Permit #11-038 – Approved.
- Mr. & Mrs. Theodore Brown, 191 Seamans Road (Map & Lot 085-027-000) interior & exterior renovations – Permit #11-039 – Approved.
- Demolish & build NEW HOME – MDM Realty Trust, (Evans) 788 Little Sunapee Road (Map & Lot 020-009-000) demolition of existing structures and construct new single family dwelling – Permit #11-040 – Approved.

Application for use of Whipple Memorial Hall:

- New London Hospital, Hospital Days events – August 6, 2011 5PM-midnight (hall needed for rain back up) – Approved.

Application for use of New London Town Commons:

- New London Hospital, Hospital Days events – Friday August 5th, tent set up – Saturday, August 6, 2011 6:00 AM-midnight activities – Monday August 8, 2011 tent removed– Approved.

Application for sign permit:

- First Baptist Church - sign at information booth - summer fair 7/9 – Approved.
- New London Historical society – sign at information booth – yard sale 7/19 - Approved.
- New London Hospital – sign at information booth – hospital days 8/6 & 7 – Approved.
Permanent sign – Erin's Haircuts for Men – attached to building, 428 Main Street – Approved.

Other items to be signed:

- Disbursement voucher for week of 5/23/2011
- Application for current use – Kidder (Map & Lot 036-016-000) – approved

Respectfully submitted,

Kristy Heath, Recording Secretary
Town of New London